

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,591	01/21/2004		Donald S. Gardner	INTEL-0063	1111
34610	7590	10/28/2005		· EXAMINER	
FLESHNER P.O. BOX 22		, LLP	NGUYEN, TUYEN T		
CHANTILLY, VA 20153				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2832	

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_ E/r
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer.	10/760,591	GARDNER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	ugust 2005.	
· _ ·	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the second secon	•	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	is/are withdrawn from considerati	on.
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* · ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat brity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/760,591

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 38-43 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the method of forming a transformer require further search and/or consideration in other areas/classes.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 38-43 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-8 and 30-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gardner [US 2002/0008605 A1].

Gardner discloses a transformer [figures 11-12] comprising:

- a plurality of conductive lines [308, 316]; and
- a magnetic material structure formed of a plurality of laminated magnetic layers [304, 312, 320] with insulation layers [306, 310, 314, 318] provided about the plurality of conductive lines, wherein the magnetic material structure including a plurality of slots [figure 11 and 122, 124 of figure 1] extending substantially perpendicular to the plurality of conductive lines.

Regarding claims 5 and 32, Gardner discloses the insulation layer formed from one of an oxide and a nitride.

Regarding claims 6 and 33, Gardner discloses the use of cobalt nitride for the insulation layer.

Regarding claims 7 and 34, Gardner discloses the magnetic layer form of amorphous cobalt alloys.

Regarding claim 8, Gardner discloses additional insulation layer [310] formed between the conductive lines and the magnetic layer.

Gardner discloses the transformer for an integrated circuit [ICs] and IC packages.

Regarding claims 30 and 37, Gardner inherently discloses the transformer provided on a die.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gardner.

Gardner discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific arrangement of the conductive lines.

The specific using of straight conductive lines instead of the spiral conductive lines would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended application/environment use.

Response to Arguments

. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-8, 30-37 and 44 have been considered

but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN TW

Toughe T. Ngayla